

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/670,234	Applicant(s) KOMURO ET AL.	
	Examiner Dean O. Takaoka	Art Unit 2817	

All Participants:
Status of Application: ____

 (1) Dean O. Takaoka.

(3) ____

 (2) Ronald A. Rudder.

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 18 May 2005
Time: 1:00p
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C. 103(a)

Claims discussed:

2

Prior art documents discussed:

Whatmore et al., Barber
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was the position of the Examiner that claim 2 remained obvious over Whatmore et al. in view of Barber. Whatmore et al. shows the configuration of the resonator including lead out portions of the upper and lower electrodes where Barber (Fig. 2) teaches the ground electrode (42) is thicker than the resonant portion of the upper electrodes (40)(col. 6, lines 1,2), thus where the thickness of the ground lead of Barber, used in the structure of Whatmore et al. would comprise the structure in claim 2. It was agreed to cancel claim 2 by Examiner's amendment. It was confirmed that the date of the non-patent literature document "Thin Film Resonators" was May 5, 2001, as indicated on page 3 of the specification (and cover page of the NPL document) and thus would be initialed by the Examiner as being considered.